1	GABRII Nevada
2	GABRI
3	411 Sou Las Ve
4	Las Vec T: (702) F: (702) E: gabr
5	E: gabri Attorne
6	
7	
8	
9	UNITE
10	
11	
12	VS.
13	MEGAN QUNEII
14	QUITE
15	
16	l
17	Truntan
18	
19	counse
20	GOODI
21	examin
22	date an
23	7
24	'

25

26

27

28

EL L. GRASSO, ESQ. a Bar No. 7358 EL L. GRASSO, P.C. uth 6th Street gas, NV 89101 868-8866 868-5778 iel@grassodefense.com y for GASTON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Plaintiff,)) Case No.: 2:20-mj-00255-EJY
MEGAN GOODIN QUNEIL GASTON,) STIPULATION TO CONTINUE) PRELIMINARY HEARING)
Defendants) (FIRST REQUEST))

T IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between Nicholas A. nich, United States Attorney, and Stephanie Ihler, Assistant United States Attorney, I for the United States of America, Lance A. Maningo, Esq. counsel for MEGAN N, and Gabriel L. Grasso, Esq, counsel for QUNEIL GASTON, that the preliminary ation currently scheduled for May 12 2020 at 4:00 p.m., be vacated and set to a d time convenient to this court but no sooner than thirty (30) days.

The Stipulation is entered into for the following reasons:

- 1. The parties are in agreement that due to the current COVID-19 emergency and General Order 2020-02 that a 30 day continuance of the Preliminary Hearing in this case preferred in order to allow the parties to fully review materials and prepare for the Preliminary Hearing.
- 2. Ms. Goodin agrees to the continuance.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

GABRIEL L. GRASSO, P.C. 1 GABRIEL L. GRASSO, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 7358 2 411 South 6th Street Las Vegas, NV 89101 3 T: (702) 868-8866 F: (702) 868-5778 E: gabriel@grassodefense.com 5 Attorney for GASTON UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 7 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 8 Plaintiff, 9 Case No.: 2:20-mh-00255-EJY 10 VS. FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS 11 OF LAW AND ORDER MEGAN GOODIN QUNEIL GASTON. 12 Defendant. 13 14

FINDINGS OF FACT

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Based on the pending Stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing therefore, the Court finds that:

 The parties are in agreement that due to the current COVID-19 emergency and General Order 2020-02 that a 30 day continuance of the Preliminary Hearing in this case preferred in order to allow the parties to fully review materials and prepare for the Preliminary Hearing.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The ends of justice served by granting said continuance. Failure to grant said continuance would be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice, would deny the parties herein sufficient time and the opportunity within which to be able to effectively and thoroughly prepare, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

ORDER IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the preliminary examination currently scheduled MAY 12, 2020, at 4:00 be vacated and continued for p.m., to June 11, 2020, at 4:00 p.m., in a courtroom to be determined. DATED this 11th day of May, 2020.